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Abstract

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a dreaded malignancy that every year causes half a million deaths worldwide. Being an aggressive cancer, its incidence exceeds 700,000 new cases per year worldwide with a median survival of 6-8 months. Despite advances in prognosis and early detection, effective HCC chemoprevention or treatment strategies are still lacking, therefore its dismal survival rate remains largely unchanged. This review will characterize currently available chemotherapeutic drugs used in the treatment of HCC. The respective mode(s) of action, side effects and recommendations will be also described for each drug.

Introduction

Cancer is the leading cause of death in developed countries and the second leading cause of death in developing countries. Liver cancer is one of the most frequent and dismal malignancies.

Systemic therapy of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Hormonal therapy

Octreotide

Octreotide, somatostatin (SST) hormone mimic, exhibits regulatory and suppressive effects against several adenocarcinomas including...
breast, kidney, colon and ovary carcinomas. A few years ago SST analogues emerged as a promising treatment for nonsurgical HCC, but the effectiveness of this approach is still a controversial topic.

Ocreotide was firstly used for HCC treatment by Kouroumalis et al. in a randomized trial with 58 patients. In this study, ocreotide appeared to be a promising drug by doubling the survival in this group. A similar but not randomized study with long-acting analogues from the same group confirmed the results using historical controls. In these two reports, it was clearly demonstrated that differences in survival are mostly apparent after 6 months of treatment. This is considered to be a reasonable result, since SST is not a rescue drug and time is required before any benefit can be achieved. Moreover, in these two reports an impressive improvement in the quality of life was noticed, and even patients with an advanced disease retained their appetite, a reasonable body weight, and sense of well-being until the very end. Since then, several clinical studies were published and the role of SST receptors (SSTR) became a topic of intensive research and controversy.

Ocreotide improves the response of HCC SSTR[+] patients over those of HCC SSTR[–]. The molecular mechanisms of SST antineoplastic activities are therefore attributed to the direct and indirect proliferation suppression caused by SSTR which is over expressed in HCC. Generally, there are at least six main mechanisms by which SST and its analogues inhibit HCC progress: i) inhibition of secretion of growth hormone, insulin and gastrointestinal (GI) hormones (gastrin, glucagon) that could be involved in the regulation of tumor growth; ii) direct or indirect inhibition of insulin-like growth factors (IGF-I and IGF-II) or IGF binding proteins; iii) direct inhibition of angiogenesis (in vivo and in vitro); iv) direct antiproliferative effect on cancer cells via specific SSTR; v) induction of apoptosis (dependent on or independent from p53) and vi) immune modulation antineoplastic effect. Nevertheless, the exact function of somatostatins in HCC is still arguable.

In some cases a poor tumor growth inhibition was observed with SST analogues. From the clinical point of view, there are several possible reasons for this effect: i) SSTR down regulation in tumor cells accompanied by a decrease either in the number and/or the affinity of SSTR due to continued exposure to the agonist (tachyphylaxis); ii) responsiveness decrease due to receptor uncoupling by a second messenger activation (desensitization); iii) non homogenous expression of SSTR in tumors with predominance or emergence of receptor-negative cell clones; iv) upregulation of binding sites that do not recognize the specific analogue and/or escape of cancer cells that do not express SSTR at all; v) mutations in SSTR genes that might lead to the absence of functional SSTR proteins; vi) production of endogenous peptides like cortistatin that affect the expression and internalization of the SSTR; vii) SSTR expression downregulation by pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as the tumor necrosis factor TNFα and interleukin-1 (IL-1).

To conclude, ocreotide could be used as an effective treatment for various endocrine tumors. Nevertheless, its role in advanced HCC remains controversial.

Tamoxifen

Tamoxifen (TMX), a triphenylethylene non-steroidal anti-estrogen, is one of the most important hormonal drugs which is being used to treat breast cancer for over a decade. Interestingly, TMX was also used in HCC and showed to prolong survival of some patients in advanced HCC. As the liver is a hormone-sensitive organ, various evidence suggested that sexual hormones and their receptors have a role in liver carcinogenesis. Accordingly, the presence of estrogen receptors (ER) in the liver and the supposed causal relationship between sexual steroids and liver tumors suggested the possibility of using TMX in the treatment of HCC. In this context, cell culture experiments on the human hepatoblastoma cell lines HepG2 and Hep3B showed that TMX induced growth inhibition in a dose-dependent manner at the nanomolar range.

Estrogen-positive HCC receptor responds to TMX treatment; however, more than 50% of HCCs are ER-negative. Interestingly, TMX at higher doses is known to have a therapeutic action, regardless of the ER status. Therefore high-dose TMX would theoretically have a therapeutic action on both ER-positive and ER-negative HCC suggesting ER-independent mechanisms.

Mount reports suggested that TMX induces cell death via multifactorial pathways; however the underlying mechanism of TMX is not yet fully understood. In HepG2 cells, it inhibits proliferation via down-regulation of mammalian target of rapamycin activity and survivin expression. Furthermore, TMX is believed to induce apoptosis by blocking anti-apoptotic pathways, such as PI3K-Akt, and mitogen-activated protein kinase, which in turn is involved in cell proliferation and tumorigenesis.

The efficacy of TMX therapy in advanced HCC is controversial and conflicting. Recent meta-analysis showed that it did not provide significant anti-tumoral or survival effects. In some cases, a significant trend toward a negative dose response was observed. It was believed that the positive results identified in the early 1990s were due to a methodological bias and random error of small-sized studies.

In the absence of proven therapy for inoperable HCC, clinicians (especially in the third world) are still using TMX on an ad-hoc basis. In the meantime, we do not recommend the use of TMX in patients with HCC, as it did not offer any benefits in terms of survival and is likely to be detrimental.

Biologic and biochemical therapy

Thalidomide

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a typical hypervascular tumor and is considered to be an antiangiogenic and angiogenesis-dependent tumor. It was found that angiogenic factors, such as the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and matrix metalloproteinases were over expressed in HCC cells and also in the surrounding stroma cells. Furthermore, elevated serum levels of angiogenic factors were also found in patients with liver cirrhosis. The high prevalence of HCC-induced angiogenesis made it a logical target for cancer therapy. The antiangiogenic therapy has many advantages over the conventional cytotoxic therapy targeted to cancer cells; firstly, the tumor vasculature is pharmacokinetically more homogeneous than the tumors which could be located in different parts of the body. Secondly, the primary target cells (endothelial cells) are genetically stable diploid cells and acquired drug resistance could be rare. Thirdly, partial damage to the endothelium would be enough to block blood supply to the tumors and result in growth inhibition or even tumor shrinkage. Consequently, the antiangiogenic therapy is a reasonable choice for HCC growth control and inhibition.

Thalidomide is a glutamic acid derivative which was firstly introduced in the 1950, as an anti-inflammatory agent. Ten years later, thalidomide was banned due to its teratogenic action which was related to the inhibition of new blood vessel formation. Once more, thalidomide attracted the attention of oncologist due to its effects in certain multiple myelomas.

The preliminary efficiency of thalidomide in case of advanced HCC showed only modest responses with acceptable toxicity. In this context, the efficacy and tolerability of fixed low-dose thalidomide was further studied by Hsu et al. The study showed an overall survival time of 18.7 weeks with an overall response rate of 6.3%. On the other hand, a high-dose study by Patt et al. showed an overall response rate of 5% with 6.8 moths survival time.
The potential mechanisms of thalidomide’s anticancer activity were attributed to its active four-peptide signals.55 It down-regulates IL-6, bFGF, VEGF, and TNFα that are released by tumors in order to stimulate cell growth and neoangiogenesis. Furthermore, it induces the proliferation of CD8-positive T-cells and hence modulates the immune function. Moreover, it suppresses cyclooxygenase-2 activity and thereby reduces the production of prostaglandin. Additionally, thalidomide modulates several cell adhesion molecules, which are involved in metastases.36,44,46 Up to now, it is unclear which of these four activities are responsible for the thalidomide action.

In conclusion, Thalidomide is considered as an alternative to more expensive molecular targeted therapies with modest responses and acceptable toxicity to HCC. The exact mode(s) of action still requires more clarification, if it is intended to be used as a single antitumor drug or in combination with other supportive drugs. Of course there are side effects, such as central and peripheral neurologic toxicity investigated in the current thalidomide’s trials which minimizes its potential in the treatment of HCC. It should be noted that it is possible with the continuous development of clinical and pharmacological studies that thalidomide new derivatives become more specific and might have fewer side effects in the future and be of benefit to patients with HCC.

Interferon

Interferons (IFNs) are a group of natural cytokines that are involved in various biological processes, including anti-proliferative, antiviral and immunomodulatory actions.47 IFNs were given that name due to their ability to interfere with viral replication within living cells.48 They could be classified into 3 distinct subtypes, depending on the differences in their receptors: type I (IFN-α and IFN-β); type II (IFN-γ) and type III (IFN-λ). IFN type I is the most commonly used type for treatment.49 In general, IFN-α exerts its biological effect through the activation of the Janus kinease (JAK)-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling pathway.50,51 Upon binding of IFN-α to its receptor, it triggers a cascade of events leading to the activation of the transcription of IFN-stimulated genes which mediate its anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic properties. This cascade involves the phosphorylation of IFN-receptor by JAKs which subsequently phosphorylate the STAT proteins. The activated STAT then translocates to the nucleus and activates the IFN-stimulated genes. Therefore, STAT proteins could be potential targets to enhance the efficacy of IFN's therapy.

Over the past few years, accumulating evidence showed that IFN has a potent antineoplastic effect on various types of tumors, including HCC.52-55 However the precise mechanism underlying this antitumor activity is still far from being clear. Like many other anti-tumor agents, the main mechanism of IFN is triggering cell apoptosis.54,55 A recent study has indicated that interferon affects hepatoma cells differently in a cell-dependent manner with a P53-independent mechanism.54 Furthermore, treating hepatoma cell lines with IFN resulted in the upregulation of promyelocytic leukemia (PML) gene expression, which is considered a tumor suppressor gene involved in mediating cell apoptosis, regardless of the P53 status.54 Furthermore, TRAIL, an IFN target gene,56 is involved in cell apoptosis in IFN-treated cells in a mechanism mediated by the PML protein.54. On the other hand, knocking down PML expression using small interfering RNA (siRNA) caused a significant attenuation in the TRAIL level which subsequently halted IFN-induced apoptosis.

Moreover, IFN could act as an anti-angiogenic agent in the treatment of HCC, as it inhibits neovascularization in the tumor through the down regulation of VEGF expression which is associated with a reduction in tumor growth.57,58 The inhibition of VEGF expression could be mediated by the inhibitory effect of IFN on hypoxia-inducible factor α (HIF-α), which is a well-known upstream activator of VEGF expression. Furthermore, a complementary DNA (cDNA) microarray analysis showed that IFN-α could inhibit PI3 kinase and MAP kinase pathways, which are pivotal for VEGF expression in MHCC97 cells (a metastatic HCC cell line).58

In addition to its therapeutic effect, IFN has shown to be effective in reducing the incidence of HCC in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus as well as the relapse of HCC after curative therapy or resection.53 In contrast, there is not enough data to determine the cytotoxic effect of IFN on hepatitis B virus-related HCC. However, a few reports have indicated that IFN could inhibit HCC development in patients with cirrhosis resulted from the hepatitis B virus.59,60 Very recently, Liu et al. claimed that IFN increases the sensitivity of HCC to chemotherapy through the inhibition of NF-κB pathway,61 which induced the expression of some anti-apoptotic agents.62

Although IFN has a modest effect on patients with advanced HCC, a considerable proportion of these patients showed resistance to IFN chemotherapy. This means that it is necessary to develop new strategies to enhance the efficacy of IFN. One of the most recent preclinical studies suggested that aspirin can significantly enhance the IFN-α-induced apoptosis in vitro as well as tumor regression in nude mice via the JAK3/STAT1 pathway.59 Moreover, for the purpose of enhancing its cytotoxic effect, IFN was administrated to patients with advanced HCC in combination with several other therapeutic agents, such as 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU), docetaxel and cisplatin.55

In summary IFN type I is widely used in the treatment of HCC. Interestingly, IFN-β has a greater tumoricidal effect than IFN-α on HCC growth, proliferation and induction of apoptosis.63 On the other hand, high doses of IFN cannot be used in patients with chronic liver diseases. Furthermore, the administration of high doses of IFN resulted in several undesirable symptoms such as weight loss, fatigue and severe hematological disorders.54

Chemotherapy

Sorafenib

Sorafenib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is considered to be a standard therapy for advanced HCC patients, as it inhibits HCC growth and angiogenesis. Sorafenib was the topic of many recent reviews and therefore will not be discussed in detail here.65,67

5-Fluorouracil

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is one of the most widely used chemotherapeutic drugs in the treatment of several types of malignancies, such as colon, breast and HCC cancers.68 It was developed in 1950s, as a heterocyclic aromatic compound, uracil analogue, with a fluoroine substituent at the C-5 position.5 This drug can incorporate into the RNA and the DNA and interrupt the cell cycle leading to the induction of apoptosis. It is rapidly uptaken by the cell through the same transport system as uracil.69 Inside the human body, it is converted into some active metabolites that interrupt RNA and inhibit the thymidylate synthase (TS) enzyme activity, fluorodeoxyuridine monophosphate (FdUUMP), fluorodeoxyuridine triphosphate (FdUTP) and fluorouridine triphosphate (FUTP). Furthermore, 5-FU is catalyzed into dihydrofluorouracil (DHFU) by dihydrodipyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) mainly in the liver.70,71 It performs its anticancer effect through two main mechanisms:74 inhibition of TS or misincorporation into DNA or RNA. The inhibition of the TS enzyme in turn leads to a reduction in the level of deoxythymidine monophosphate (dTMP), which ultimately causes DNA damage and cell death.72,73 The other mechanism for the cytotoxic effect of 5-FU is through the misincorporation into the nucleic acids (either DNA or RNA) instead of pyrimidine nucleotides and therefore it interferes with the normal biosynthesis and function of the nucleic acid that eventually halts cell growth.73 Indeed, 5-FU misincorporation can interrupt many aspects of RNA processing, such as inhibiting mat-
uration of rRNA, splicing and polyadenylation of mRNA as well as disrupting the post-transcriptional modifications of the tRNA.

In addition to these two mechanisms, Cheng et al. suggested that 5-FU can induce cell apoptosis in a P53-dependent mechanism. He indicated that 5-FU treatment was accompanied with elevated P53 expression at both the RNA and protein levels in HCC tumors. Upregulation of P53 significantly decreased the Bcl-2/Bax ratio that induced the release of cytochrome C from the mitochondria which in turn caused upregulation of caspase-3. Activation of caspase-3 expression triggered further apoptosis by reducing the expression of poly ADP-ribose polymerase 1 (PARP-1) at mRNA and protein levels.

Like many other anticancer agent, 5-FU could be administrated via intraperitoneal injection, intrahepatic perfusion and intra-arterial infusion to enhance its therapeutic effect. It has a very short half-life in the body, therefore it should be administered in multiple doses to maintain its therapeutic blood level. Consequently, it may be associated with severe side effects, such as gastrointestinal toxicity and hematologic and bone marrow disorders. Therefore, a site-specific delivery system is required to provide a more effective and safer therapy. Recent studies have proposed that 5-FU could be applied to the liver surface through a diffusion cell which ensure a site-selective delivery of the drug that minimizes systemic side effects. Another study used nanoparticles as delivery system to deliver 5-FU to HCC cells that ensures a controlled release of the drug to the tumor site. This study indicated that using 5-FU-loaded nanoparticles ensured an accumulation of the drug into the HCC tissues that caused a more potent inhibitory effect on tumor growth with lower toxicity compared with 5-FU alone. These finding suggest that using nanoparticles as drug delivery system could be very promising in improving HCC chemotherapy.

Cisplatin

It was the first member of the platinum-containing anti-cancer drug class. The cytotoxic activity of the drug is its essential feature and refers to its DNA-damaging effect. Although the cisplatin cytotoxic mechanism is not fully understood, it was postulated that cisplatin-DNA adducts may kill cells via apoptosis induction.

Once cisplatin enters the cell (through transmembrane channels), the concentration of the chloride ions decreases to 20 mM. Consequently, it is hydrated to form positively charged active species for subsequent cellular nucleophiles interaction. At the cellular level, cisplatin may also interact with various cellular components that have nucleophilic sites (e.g., thiol-containing molecules, proteins, RNA, membrane phospholipids, DNA) subsequently leading to cell malfunctioning and death. Furthermore, intracellular cisplatin reacts with nuclear DNA to yield DNA-protein cross-links and intrastrand DNA crosslinks. The most common is the intrastrand cross-link between adjacent guanines. Not only does cisplatin target genomic DNA and induce cytotoxicity, but it also targets other cellular components, which are also involved in the cytotoxicity of the drug. Therefore, cisplatin interacts with phospholipids and phosphatidylserine in membranes, binds to mitochondrial DNA, affects the polymerization of actin and disrupts the cytoskeleton.

The mechanism of cisplatin-induced DNA damage is not the only proposed mechanism. Cisplatin cytotoxicity was observed in DNA repair-deficient cells as well, which died at cisplatin concentrations that do not inhibit DNA synthesis. Moreover, at high cisplatin concentrations, that are enough to inhibit DNA synthesis, DNA repair-proficient cells survive and block the cells in the S phase. It means that cisplatin does not always correlate with the inhibition of DNA synthesis.

To understand the correlation between the cell death pathway and cisplatin-induced DNA damage, attention has recently been drawn to the identification and characterization of proteins that are responsible for cisplatin-induced DNA damage. It was found that more than 16 genes are essential for the DNA damage recognition and excision function of the intrastrand adduct between two adjacent guanines. The human mismatch repair complex hMutS-a detects but does not remove cisplatin-DNA adducts. This protein recognizes specifically a single cisplatin intrastrand adduct between two adjacent guanines. There is a repair system called DNA-PK, which is mainly important for the elimination of DNA double-strand breaks that are induced by ionizing radiation. The HMG proteins are a family of small, non-histone chromatin-associated proteins involved in gene regulation and maintenance of the chromatin structure. The HMG box proteins do have the common feature of binding to DNA involved in structural deformation and some of them also bind to cisplatin-DNA adducts. Thus, a HMG protein called structure specific recognition protein-1 [SSRP-1] binds to cisplatin, but not transplatin adducts. HMG1 and HMG2 proteins recognize the intrastrand adduct between adjacent guanines.

Gemcitabine

Gemcitabine is a fluorine-substituted deoxycytidine analog (2′,2′-difluorodeoxycytidine) originally developed as an antiviral agent. It has a broad range of anti-tumor activities against various types of solid tumors. Gemcitabine is also considered a pro-drug, as it undergoes intracellular modifications in order to produce its active metabolites. Once it is uptaken by the cells, it is phosphorylated either by deoxycytidine kinase (dCK) or thymidine kinase 2 to produce difluorodeoxy cytidine monophosphate (dFdCMP) which is subsequently converted to difluorodeoxy cytidine diphosphate (dFdCDP) and difluorodeoxy cytidine triphosphate (dFdCTP) active metabolites.

Taking into consideration that gemcitabine specificity to dCK is much higher than that to thymidine kinase, the phosphorylation of gemcitabine with dCK is considered therefore the rate limiting step and is subsequently crucial for the cytotoxic effect. A deficiency of dCK could therefore be one of the potential gemcitabine drug resistance mechanisms. On the other hand, gemcitabine is inactivated rapidly by deoxycytidine deaminase (dCDa) to produce difluorodeoxyuridine (dFdU). Also, dFdCMP could be deaminated into 2′,2′-difluorodeoxyuridin monophosphate (dFdUMP) and ultimately to dFdU by the dCMP deaminase enzyme.

Although gemcitabine is approved to be used for patients with pancreatic cancer, several studies demonstrated its tumoricidal effect on HCC tumors. Preclinical studies indicated that gemcitabine had a pronounced effect on hepatoma cells in vitro. However, phase II trials showed that the use of gemcitabine as a single agent in treatment of advanced HCC resulted in a response rate of only 2.1-17.8%. To increase its potency, a recent study indicated that using MEK inhibitors synergistically increased the sensitivity of HCC cells to gemcitabine. Moreover, knockdown of survivin, an antiapoptotic molecule widely expressed in several types of tumors including HCC, enhanced the cytotoxic effect of gemcitabine on HCC cells. The proposed mode of action may be based on a decrease in the level of glucose-regulated protein 78 and an induction of apoptotic signaling. Similarly, co-treatment of HCC cells with quinacrine sensitized these cells to various chemotherapeutic agents, including gemcitabine. This may be occur by inducing apoptosis and decreasing some anti-apoptotic proteins, such as Mcl-1. These studies suggested that gemcitabine is recommended to be used in a combination with other therapeutic agents in order to achieve a better cytotoxic effect on cancer cells.

Despite the fact that gemcitabine has an acceptable anti-tumor agent, the precise mechanism underlying its toxicity is not yet fully understood. One of the most cited mechanisms is the competition of dFdCTP with dCTP to be incorporated into a DNA strand during DNA replication. Following this incorporation process, only one additional deoxynucleotide is added to the growing DNA strand that terminates the DNA synthesis. The addition of this deoxynucleotide protects the...
DNA chain from the DNA repair enzymes that subsequently induces cell apoptosis.28,102 Furthermore, dFdCDP active metabolite inhibits ribonucleotide reductase (RR) enzyme, which is crucial for producing deoxynucleotides (especially dCTP) needed for DNA synthesis and repair.103 The reduction of dCTP level favors dFdCDT, the other gemcitabine active metabolite, for incorporation into DNA instead of dCTP in a mechanism called self-potentiation.104 In contrast, increased activity of RR elevates the dCTP pools and subsequently inhibits gemcitabine phosphorylation reducing its activity. In addition to DNA, dFdCTP could also be incorporated into RNA causing an inhibition of RNA synthesis in a time and concentration dependent manner.105 However, the precise mechanism by which gemcitabine induces cytotoxicity through incorporation into RNA is still far to be clear.

Like many other anticancer drugs, HCC cells also acquire resistance to gemcitabine leading to an insignificant improvement in terms of overall survival of HCC patients. Further studies are therefore urgently needed to uncover the molecular mechanisms underlying this resistance which may ultimately open up potential approaches leading to the identification of novel therapeutic targets for HCC.

In conclusion, gemcitabine is a promising antitumor agent in the treatment of HCC either as a single therapeutic regime or in combination with other chemotherapies.

Thymophysin and α-1-Thymosin
Among the experimental strategies targeting HCC, immunomodulation is considered to be a promising strategy. Likewise, immune function depression was found to play a major role in the development and pathogenesis of HCC.106 Thymostimulin, a thymic peptide fraction isolated from calf thymus including thymosin-α-1 and thymic humoral factor, was found to be an effective immunomodulatory agent.107 It is capable of stimulating the release of interferons and interleukin-2 and also stimulates the recovery of T-cell proliferation and differentiation in immunocompromised patients. Furthermore, it was found that administering thymostimulin to patients undergoing surgery decrease the infection incidence. Moreover, a selective dose-dependent cytotoxic immune reaction was observed against HCC cell lines in vitro.107-109 Indeed, the overall response rate was 24% and was associated with a significant increase in life expectancy in the only clinical phase II trial conducted to date.109 On the other hand, few side effects were observed with the use of thymostimulin. In summary, despite the full characterization of the active component(s) of thymostimulin, a tolerability profile is needed before the role of this agent can be fully defined.

Capecitabine
Capecitabine is an oral systemic pro-drug; it remains unchanged when crossing the gastrointestinal barrier and is rapidly absorbed.110 Capecitabine has been used in case of advanced HCC patients who were not eligible for surgery, local ablative therapies or chemoembolisation. Previous studies showed that capecitabine should be administered orally twice daily at a dosage of 2000 mg/m2 per day for 14 consecutive days and then discontinued for 7 days. This cycle has to be repeated every 21 days. The doses of capecitabine can be reduced by 25% or 50% depending on the general condition of the patient, serum bilirubin, and platelet count. Capecitabine inhibits the DNA synthesis and slows down the growth of the tumor tissue.110 At the cellular level, it is converted into S-FU, its only active metabolite, by thymidine phosphorylase which is present at higher levels in HCC compared to the normal healthy tissue. Accordingly, the capecitabine mode of action would be the same as S-FU. A retropective analysis of the efficacy and toxicity of capecitabine showed that it can be used for the treatment of HCC patients. Adverse events observed in this analysis were mostly due to HCC complications and liver. Other potential major adverse reactions observed included: cardiovascular, dermatological, hematological and hepatic effects.111,112 In conclusion, Capecitabine was found to be quite safe for the treatment of patients with HCC, including those with compensated cirrhosis. However, the objective response rate was limited.

Doxorubicin
Doxorubicin (DOX) is a metabolite of Streptomyces peucetius var. caesius that belongs to the anthracycline family.113 It was developed in the 1970s and was used as a chemotherapeutic agent in the treatment of different cancers including multiple myeloma, non-Hodgkin’s and Hodgkin’s lymphoma, sarcoma, lung, gastric, thyroid, ovarian, and breast cancers.114 There are several proposed mechanisms to interpret the doxorubicin antitumor activity.115 Generation of free radicals and the intercalation into DNA are considered the main cytotoxic mechanisms.

Generally, anthracyclines are well-known to intercalate into DNA in in vitro experiments. Moreover, there are many crystal structures of DNA complexes with doxorubicin in the protein data bank (e.g., pdb id 151D and 1P2O).116 Previous studies showed that the intercalation of doxorubicin into DNA caused DNA breaks in addition to the interference with DNA synthesis.117 Other studies have shown that the DNA-doxorubicin interaction is related to the poisoning of topoisomerase II (TOP2A)118,119 but not topoisomerase I.120,121 Topoisomerase II-mediated DNA damage is followed by cell death.117 TP53, a gene that is a major player of the DNA-damage response and apoptosis,122,123 has been involved in this doxorubicin-apoptosis pathway. TP53 has shown to functionally interact in a p53-mediated apoptotic pathway with a doxorubicin treatment in lymphoblastoid cell lines.124

Doxorubicin can either undergo one-electron or two-electrons reduction. The first one might be mediated by microsomal NAPDH P450 reductase, NADH cytochrome b5 reductase or mitochondrial NADH-oxidoreductase to form the corresponding doxorubicin-semiquinone radical.125 Alternatively, a two electron reduction is mediated by NAD[P]Hquino oxidoreductase (nqo1) (DT-diaphorase) to form the corresponding doxorubicin-hydroquinone.126-128 Re-oxidation of doxorubicin-semiquinone and doxorubicin-hydroquinone back to doxorubicin leads to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) leading to oxidative stress which in turn triggers apoptosis and induces cell death.129-131

Mitoxantrone
Mitoxantrone (MX), also known as dihydroyanthrancedione (DHAD), is a tricyclic planar chromophore with two basic side chains.132 It exhibits an anticancer activity against various types of tumors, including HCC.133 MX was developed originally as a simplified doxorubicin analogue with a lower cardiotoxicity.134 Furthermore, it has been approved by the FDA for treatment of acute myeloid leukemia, prostate cancer and multiple sclerosis.134

Like several anticancer agents, MX inhibits cells division through intercalation into the DNA causing DNA condensation and subsequently prevents DNA replication as well as RNA synthesis. This intercalation can take place by three different modes: i) electrostatic interaction between the positively charged N-containing side chain of MX and the negatively charged phosphate backbone DNA; ii) binding to the two grooves of the DNA double helix with a much higher affinity for the major groove than the minor groove; iii) insertion between base pairs of the DNA strand.132,135 However the precise mechanism of MX intercalation is not yet fully understood. In addition to its effect on dividing cells, MX also kills non-proliferating cells (in vitro) suggesting that its mechanism may also be a cell-cycle independent.

Treatment of HepG2 and Hep3B cell lines with MX induced cell apoptosis through accumulation of apoptotic protein such as P53, P63 and P73 inside the cells in a dose and time dependent manner.136 On the other hand, silencing the expression of these P53 family members...
using siRNA resulted in a significant reduction in the apoptotic cells with increased chemoresistant properties in the tumor cells. Furthermore, MX binds to chromatin, with a higher affinity than DNA, forming a complex that inhibits the release of histone proteins. Moreover, MX suppresses the topoisomerase II enzyme which is crucial for the DNA repair that leads to DNA break and induction of apoptosis.

Clinical studies have indicated that MX is uptaken rapidly by tissues while it is eliminated in a relatively slow rate mainly by biliary excretion. In an early study, Stewart and colleagues used HPLC to examine the concentration of MX in different tissue samples of 11 patients who were treated with the drug intravenously 10-272 days antemortem. Interestingly, MX was detectable in tissues from all patients with the highest concentration in the thyroid and liver, while the brain had the lowest MX concentration. This finding confirms that MX remains in human tissue for a long time following the administration.

In a preclinical study, using MX-loaded Gal-P123 nanoparticles resulted in an about 15-fold increase in the accumulation of MX in the cells with about 2.3-fold higher toxicity than treating the cells with free MX. Moreover, in a recent randomized phase II trial, the median survival of HCC patients who received nanoparticles loaded by MX was increased from 3.23 months to 5.46 months in patients treated with MX injections. This enhancement is concomitant with minimal side effects, such as leukopenia and anemia. Interestingly, in this study, 13.6% of the patients who received MX-nanoparticles survived for 16-19 months, while all patients treated with MX injection died within the one year. This result indicated that the use of nanoparticles as a delivery system enhances the efficacy of this chemotherapeutic agent in the combat against cancer cells.

In conclusion, MX is considered to be a promising anticancer agent in decreasing tumor progression through different potential mechanisms, including single or double strand DNA breaks and DNA-protein crosslinks. Unlike other anthracycline derivatives, MX has a lower cardiotoxic effect probably because it does not reduce semiquinone (resulted from quinone reduction in the cardiocytes) that interacts with oxygen to produce free radicals.

**Table 1. Chemotherapeutic agents used for hepatocellular carcinoma treatment.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemotherapeutic agent</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Octreotide</td>
<td>Inhibition of growth hormone secretion, insulin and GI hormones, insulin-like growth factors (IGF-I and IGF-II) and angiogenesis</td>
<td>15-17,20-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamoxifen</td>
<td>Down-regulation of mTOR activity and survivin expression Blocking anti-apoptotic pathways (PI3K-Akt, and MAPK)</td>
<td>23-25,27,28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalidomide</td>
<td>Down-regulation of IL-6, bFGF, VEGF, and TNFα Reduction of prostaglandin production Anti-angiogenic agent</td>
<td>35,36,42-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon</td>
<td>Activation of JAK-STAT signaling pathway inducing apoptosis Anti-angiogenic agent</td>
<td>50,51,57,58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
<td>Tyrosine-kinease inhibitor</td>
<td>65-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Fluorouracil</td>
<td>Inhibition of thymidylate synthase Nucleotide analogue mis-incorporated into DNA or RNA</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>DNA damage</td>
<td>81-84,86-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemcitabine</td>
<td>Nucleotide analogue mis-incorporated into DNA</td>
<td>88,97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymophysin and α-1-Thymosin</td>
<td>Immunomodulatory agent Stimulating the release of interferons and interleukin-2</td>
<td>107-109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capecitabine</td>
<td>Inhibition of DNA synthesis Slowing down of tumor growth</td>
<td>92-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>Generation of free radicals Intercalation into DNA</td>
<td>113-115,117-121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitoxantrone</td>
<td>Intercalates into DNA and hence prevents DNA replication and RNA synthesis</td>
<td>128,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epirubicin</td>
<td>Inhibition of DNA and RNA synthesis</td>
<td>141-143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etoposide</td>
<td>Inhibitor of DNA topoisomerase II</td>
<td>144-146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab</td>
<td>Anti-angiogenic agent</td>
<td>147-150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GI, gastrointestinal; IGF, insulin-like growth factor; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; IL-6, interleukin-6; bFGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor-α; JAK-STAT, janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription.
Epirubicin

Epirubicin hydrochloride is a semisynthetic anthracycline cytotoxic antibiotic in which the sugar moiety differs from the natural daunosamine (amino sugar present in doxorubicin and the steric configuration of the hydroxyl bearing C-4 is inverted, thus forming the L-arabinofuranose configuration instead of the L-xylofuranose). Furthermore, epirubicin is considered to be a doxorubicin epimer which is only different in the spatial orientation of the hydroxyl group at the 4 carbon of the sugar moiety. This difference may account for the reduced toxicity and enhanced activity. In this context, data from different animal species and in vitro models have shown that epirubicin is less toxic, and in particular less cardiotoxic than doxorubicin. The anthracene ring is lipophilic and the saturated end of the ring system contains hydroxyl groups adjacent to the amino sugar producing a hydrophilic center. The molecule itself is amphoter, including an acidic function in the phenolic ring groups and a basic function in the sugar amino group. It is mainly used as an antineoplastic agent and antibiotic. The mechanism of action of epirubicin appears to be related to its ability to bind to nucleic acids, which leads to the complex formation due to intercalation of the planar anthracene nucleus with the base pairs of the DNA double helix, resulting in inhibition of DNA and RNA synthesis. Intercalation also triggers DNA cleavage by topoisoerasers II, resulting in cytotoxic activity. Indeed, binding to cell membranes and plasma proteins may also occur. Epirubicin also generates cytotoxic free radicals. At equally effective doses, epirubicin produces less severe non-haematologic side effects, such as vomiting and mucositis, than doxorubicin.

Etoposide

It is a semisynthetic podophyllotoxin derivative which is widely used as anticancer drug and exhibits cytotoxicity against various types of cancers. The 4-demethylation and the introduction of a β-glycosidic moiety in position 7 of podophyllotoxin convert it into a potent irreversible inhibitor of DNA topoisoeraser II. Its action is based on the formation of a nucleic acid-drug-enzyme complex, which induces breaks in single-stranded and double-stranded DNA, as the initial step in a series of biochemical transformations that eventually lead to cell death. Etoposide and its derivatives could also act through the metabolic activation of the E-ring to produce metabolites (catechol or ortho-quinone) inactivating the DNA by forming chemical adducts. It was found that the microRNA (miRNA) regulates the response of the tumor cells towards chemotherapeutic agents. One study shed light on the potential of specific miRNA: miR-23a, which plays an important role in the regulation of the chemosensitivity of cancer cells. The overexpression of miR-23a potentiates HCC cell to etoposide-induced cell death. In miR-23a-overexpressing HCC cells, TOP2A expression remained unchanged, while TOP1 was remarkably down-regulated, leading to a fall in the overall topoisoeraser activity below the critical threshold for cell survival, when cells are exposed to TOP2A poisons and consequently accelerating cell death. Both miRNA transcripts and protein expression of TOP1 are suppressed in miR-23a-overexpressing HCC cells. Another mechanism is the activation of p53, which could increase the transcripts of p21, premature and mature form of miR-23a, while inhibition of p53 significantly reduced miR-23a level in p53-proficient HCC cells, indicating that miR-23a may be transactivated by p53 in HCC cells. In this novel mechanism p53 was associated with Bid in the nucleus to export Bid to the mitochondria. Subsequently, in response to etoposide, it induced DNA damage in HCC which led to the induction of apoptosis. When cells were stimulated by etoposide, p53 could translocate Bid from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and on to its ultimate location in the mitochondria. P53 was physically associated with Bid, and both p53 and Bid cooperatively promoted cell death induced by etoposide. Knockdown of Bid expression notably attenuated cell death induced by etoposide and also released p53 from the mitochondria.

Molecular targeted therapy

Bevacizumab

As claimed before, the top notable radiological and pathological characteristics of HCC besides its multicentricity are its hypervascularity and early invasion of vascular structures. The latter are mediated by the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and its ligands EGF and TGF-alpha which are actively co-expressed in different human tumors, including HCC.

Bevacizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody against VEGF, is an anti-angiogenic agent which showed to have a beneficial activity (as a single agent and in combination with chemotherapy) with response rates of up to 20% and a median survival of up to 12.4 months in HCC. Also, in an orthotopic HCC Hep3B model, bevacizumab significantly decreased tumor micro-vessel density, decreased human serum α-fetoprotein (AFP) and prolonged the time to progression in treatment mice compared to control mice. It has been recently accepted for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer, non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer, glioblastoma, metastatic breast cancer, and metastatic renal cell carcinoma.

In addition to its direct anti-angiogenic action, bevacizumab may improve chemotherapy administration by normalizing tumor vasculature and lowering the elevated interstitial pressure in tumors. Upon binding with VEGF, bevacizumab initiates an angiogenic signal cascade and decreases tumor growth and vascularity. These in turn improve oxygenation delivery and normalize tumor vasculature.

Bevacizumab has side effects with a grade 1 or 2 severity, such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, fatigue, hypertension, thrombosis and GI perforation and bleeding. The cause of GI bleeding is due to esophageal varices and/or portal hypertension. It is worth noting that it is advisable not to administer bevacizumab to patients who develop these symptoms.

To date, bevacizumab exact mode(s) of action is not yet fully understood. Indeed, the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab have not yet been investigated any further in phase III trials either alone or in combination with other agents for the treatment of HCC. Chemotherapeutic agents used for HCC treatment and their chemical structures are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 1.
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